arXiv:1510.01380v2 [hep-th] 14 Jun 2016

June 15, 2016

Comments on supersymmetric solutions of minimal gauged supergravity in five dimensions

Davide Cassani[a], Jakob Lorenzen[b], and Dario Martelli[b]

[a] *Sorbonne Universités UPMC Paris 06, UMR 7589, LPTHE, F-75005, Paris, France and CNRS, UMR 7589, LPTHE, F-75005, Paris, France*

davide.cassani AT lpthe.jussieu.fr

[b] *Department of Mathematics, King's College London, The Strand, London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom*

jakob.lorenzen AT kcl.ac.uk, dario.martelli AT kcl.ac.uk

Abstract

We investigate supersymmetric solutions of minimal gauged supergravity in five dimensions, in the timelike class. We propose an ansatz based on a four-dimensional local *orthotoric* Kähler metric and reduce the problem to a single sixth-order equation for two functions, each of one variable. We find an analytic, asymptotically locally AdS solution comprising five parameters. For a conformally flat boundary, this reduces to a previously known solution with three parameters, representing the most general solution of this type known in the minimal theory. We discuss the possible relevance of certain topological solitons contained in the latter to account for the supersymmetric Casimir energy of dual superconformal field theories on $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. Although we obtain a negative response, our analysis clarifies several aspects of these solutions. In particular, we show that there exists a unique regular topological soliton in this family.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Supersymmetric solutions from Kähler bases **3**

3 Orthotoric solutions **7**
3.1 The ansatz 7
3.2 The solution 8
3.3 Triviality of three parameters 10
3.4 Relation to the solution of [6] 11

4 A scaling limit and two special cases **14**

5 Topological solitons **17**
5.1 Comparison with the supersymmetric Casimir energy 17
5.2 Remarks on 1/2 BPS topological solitons 23
5.3 Remarks on 1/4 BPS topological solitons 25

6 Conclusions **27**

A $SO(4)$-symmetric solutions **29**

B Uplifting topological solitons to type IIB **32**

1 Introduction

Supersymmetric solutions to five-dimensional supergravity play an important role in the AdS/CFT correspondence. In particular, solutions to minimal gauged supergravity describe universal features of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal field theories (SCFT's). The boundary values of the fields sitting in the gravity multiplet, that is the metric, the graviphoton and the gravitino, are interpreted on the field theory side as background fields coupling to the components of the energy-momentum tensor multiplet, namely the energy-momentum tensor itself, the R-current and the supercurrent. When the solution is asymptotically Anti de Sitter (AAdS), and one chooses to work in global coordinates, the dual SCFT is defined on the conformally flat boundary $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. Solutions that are only asymptotically *locally* Anti de Sitter (AlAdS) describe SCFT's on

non conformally flat boundaries. For instance, they can describe SCFT's on backgrounds comprising a squashed S^3.

The conditions for obtaining a supersymmetric solution to minimal gauged supergravity in five dimensions were presented about a decade ago in [1]. These fall in two distinct classes, timelike and null. The timelike class is somewhat simpler and takes a canonical form determined by a four-dimensional Kähler base. Using this formalism, in [2] the first example of supersymmetric AAdS black hole free of closed timelike curves was constructed. Other AAdS solutions were obtained by different methods in [3, 4, 5, 6], with the solution of [6] being the most general in that it encompasses the others as special sub-cases. The solution of [6] also includes the most general AAdS black hole known in minimal gauged supergravity; in the supersymmetric limit, this was shown to take timelike canonical form in [7]. The formalism of [2] also led to construct AlAdS solutions, see [8, 9, 10] for the timelike class and [11] (based on [12]) for the null class.

In this paper, we come back to the problem of finding supersymmetric solutions to minimal gauged supergravity. Our motivation is twofold: on the one hand we would like to investigate the existence of black holes more general than the one of [6]. Indeed, in the supersymmetric limit the black hole of [6] has two free parameters, while one may expect the existence of a three-parameter black hole, whose mass, electric charge, and two angular momenta are constrained only by the BPS condition (see e.g. [13] for a discussion). Our second motivation is to construct supersymmetric A(l)AdS solutions with no horizon, that are of potential interest as holographic duals of pure states of SCFT's in curved space. For instance, large N SCFT's on a squashed $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, where the squashing only preserves a $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry, should be described by an AlAdS supergravity solution that is yet to be found. It is reasonable to assume that this would preserve $U(1) \times U(1) \times \mathbb{R}$ symmetry also in the bulk, so it would carry mass and two angular momenta in addition to the electric charge.

Within the approach of [1], we introduce an ansatz for solutions in the timelike class based on an *orthotoric* Kähler metric. This has two commuting isometries and depends on two functions, each of one variable. We reduce the problem of obtaining supersymmetric solutions to a single equation of the sixth order for the two functions. This follows from a constraint on the conditions of [1], that we formalise in full generality. We find a polynomial solution to the sixth-order equation depending on three non-trivial parameters. Subsequently, in the process of constructing the rest of the five-dimensional metric we obtain two additional parameters, leading to an AlAdS solution. We show that when these two extra parameters are set to zero, our solution is AAdS and is related to that of [6] by

a change of coordinates. We observe that for specific values of the parameters of [6] the change of coordinates becomes singular, and interpret this in terms of a scaling limit of the orthotoric ansatz, leading to certain non-orthotoric Kähler metrics previously employed in the search for supergravity solutions. This proves that our orthotoric ansatz, together with its scaling limit, encompasses *all* known supersymmetric solutions to minimal gauged supergravity belonging to the timelike class.

After having completed the general study, we focus on certain non-trivial geometries with no horizon contained in the solution of [6], called *topological solitons*. These are a priori natural candidates to describe pure states of dual SCFT's. We report on an attempt to match holographically the vacuum state of an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFT on the cylinder $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, and in particular the non-vanishing supersymmetric vacuum expectation values of the energy and R-charge [14]. Some basic requirements following from the supersymmetry algebra lead us to consider a 1/2 BPS topological soliton presented in [15]. Although a direct comparison of the charges shows that this fails to describe the vacuum state of the dual SCFT, in the process we clarify several aspects of such solution. We also show that the 1/4 BPS topological solitons with an $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ boundary contain a conical singularity.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we review the equations of [1] for supersymmetric solutions of the timelike class and obtain the general form of the sixth-order constraint on the Kähler metric. In section 3 we present our orthotoric ansatz and find a solution to the constraint; then we construct the full five-dimensional solution and relate it to that of [6]. The scaling limit of the orthotoric metric is presented in section 4. In section 5 we make the comparison with the supersymmetric vacuum of an SCFT on $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, and discuss further aspects of the topological soliton solutions. In section 6 we draw our conclusions. In appendix A we prove uniqueness of a supersymmetric solution within an ansatz with $SO(4) \times \mathbb{R}$ symmetry, while in appendix B we discuss the obstructions to uplift the 1/2 BPS topological solitons of [15] to type IIB supergravity on Sasaki-Einstein manifolds and make some comments on the dual field theories.

2 Supersymmetric solutions from Kähler bases

In this section we briefly review the conditions for bosonic supersymmetric solutions to minimal five-dimensional gauged supergravity found in [1], focusing on the timelike class. We also provide a general expression of a constraint first pointed out in an example in [9].

The bosonic action of minimal gauged supergravity is[1]

$$S \;=\; \frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2}\int\left[(R_5+12g^2)\,*_5 1-\frac{1}{2}F\wedge *_5 F+\frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}A\wedge F\wedge F\right]\;, \tag{2.1}$$

where R_5 is the Ricci scalar of the five-dimensional metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, A is the graviphoton $U(1)$ gauge field, $F=\mathrm{d}A$ is its field strength, $g>0$ parameterises the cosmological constant, and κ_5 is the gravitational coupling constant. The Einstein and Maxwell equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(5)}_{\mu\nu}+4g^2g_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\kappa}F_{\nu}{}^{\kappa}+\frac{1}{12}g_{\mu\nu}F_{\kappa\lambda}F^{\kappa\lambda} &= 0\;,\\ \mathrm{d}*_5 F-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}F\wedge F &= 0\;. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

A bosonic background is supersymmetric if there is a non-zero Dirac spinor ϵ satisfying

$$\left[\nabla^{(5)}_{\mu}-\frac{i}{8\sqrt{3}}\left(\gamma_{\mu}{}^{\nu\kappa}-4\delta^{\nu}_{\mu}\gamma^{\kappa}\right)F_{\nu\kappa}-\frac{g}{2}\left(\gamma_{\mu}+\sqrt{3}\,iA_{\mu}\right)\right]\epsilon \;=\; 0\;, \tag{2.3}$$

where the gamma-matrices obey the Clifford algebra $\{\gamma_{\mu},\gamma_{\nu}\}=2g_{\mu\nu}$. Bosonic supersymmetric solutions were classified (locally) in [1] by analysing the bilinears in ϵ. It was shown that all such solutions admit a Killing vector field V that is either timelike, or null. In this paper we do not discuss the null case and focus on the timelike class.

Choosing adapted coordinates such that $V=\partial/\partial y$, the five-dimensional metric can be put in the form

$$\mathrm{d}s_5^2 \;=\; -f^2\left(\mathrm{d}y+\omega\right)^2+f^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}s_B^2\;, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathrm{d}s_B^2$ denotes the metric on a four-dimensional base B transverse to V, while f and ω are a positive function and a one-form on B, respectively. Supersymmetry requires B to be Kähler. This means that B admits a real non-degenerate two-form X^1 that is closed, *i.e.* $\mathrm{d}X^1=0$, and such that $X^1{}_m{}^n$ is an integrable complex structure ($m,n=1,\ldots,4$ denote curved indices on B, and we raise the index of X^1_{mn} with the inverse metric on B). It will be useful to recall that a four-dimensional Kähler manifold also admits a complex two-form Ω of type $(2,0)$ satisfying

$$\nabla_m\Omega_{np}+iP_m\Omega_{np} \;=\; 0\;, \tag{2.5}$$

where P is a potential for the Ricci form, *i.e.* $\mathcal{R}=\mathrm{d}P$. The Ricci form is a closed two-form defined as $\mathcal{R}_{mn}=\frac{1}{2}R_{mnpq}(X^1)^{pq}$, where R_{mnpq} is the Riemann tensor on B. Moreover,

[1] We work in $(-++++)$ signature. Our Riemann tensor is defined as $R^{\mu}{}_{\nu\kappa\lambda}=\partial_{\kappa}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\lambda}+\Gamma^{\mu}_{\kappa\sigma}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\nu\lambda}-\kappa\leftrightarrow\lambda$, and the Ricci tensor is $R_{\mu\nu}=R^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\lambda\nu}$.

splitting $\Omega = X^2 + iX^3$, the triple of real two-forms X^I, $I = 1, 2, 3$, satisfies the quaternion algebra:

$$X^I{}_m{}^p X^J{}_p{}^n \;=\; -\delta^{IJ}\delta_m{}^n + \epsilon^{IJK} X^K{}_m{}^n \;. \tag{2.6}$$

We choose the orientation on B by fixing the volume form as $\mathrm{vol}_B = -\frac{1}{2} X^1 \wedge X^1$. It follows that the X^I are a basis of anti-self-dual forms on B, *i.e.* $*_B X^I = -X^I$.

The geometry of the Kähler base B determines the whole solution, namely f, ω in the five-dimensional metric (2.4) and the graviphoton field strength F. The function f is fixed by supersymmetry as

$$f \;=\; -\frac{24g^2}{R} \;, \tag{2.7}$$

where R is the Ricci scalar of $\mathrm{d}s_B^2$; this is required to be everywhere non-zero.

The expression for the Maxwell field strength is

$$F \;=\; -\sqrt{3}\,\mathrm{d}\Big[f(\mathrm{d}y + \omega) + \frac{1}{3g}P\Big] \;. \tag{2.8}$$

Note that the Killing vector V also preserves F, hence it is a symmetry of the solution.

It remains to compute the one-form ω. This is done by solving the equation

$$\mathrm{d}\omega \;=\; f^{-1}(G^+ + G^-) \;, \tag{2.9}$$

where the two-forms $G^\pm$, satisfying the (anti)-self-duality relations $*_B G^\pm = \pm G^\pm$, are determined as follows. Supersymmetry states that G^+ is proportional to the traceless Ricci form of B:[2]

$$G^+ \;=\; -\frac{1}{2g}\Big(\mathcal{R} - \frac{R}{4}X^1\Big) \;. \tag{2.10}$$

Expanding G^- in the basis of anti-self-dual two-forms as[3]

$$G^- \;=\; \frac{1}{2gR}(\lambda^1 X^1 + \lambda^2 X^2 + \lambda^3 X^3) \;, \tag{2.11}$$

one finds that the Maxwell equation fixes λ^1 as

$$\lambda^1 \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 R + \frac{2}{3}R_{mn}R^{mn} - \frac{1}{3}R^2 \;, \tag{2.12}$$

where ∇^2 is the Laplacian on B. The remaining two components, λ^2, λ^3, only have to be compatible with the requirement that the right hand side of (2.9) be closed,

$$\mathrm{d}\left[f^{-1}(G^+ + G^-)\right] \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{2.13}$$

[2]The traceless Ricci form $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathcal{R} - \frac{R}{4}X^1$ is the primitive part of $\mathcal{R}$. It has self-duality opposite to the Kähler form.

[3]Our λ^I are rescaled by a factor of $2gR$ compared to those in [1].

Plugging (2.7), (2.10), (2.12) in and taking the Hodge dual, one arrives at the equation

$$\mathrm{Im}\left[\overline{\Omega}_m{}^n(\partial_n + iP_n)(\lambda^2 + i\lambda^3)\right] + \Xi_m \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$\Xi_m \;=\; R_{mn}\partial^n R + \partial_m\left(\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 R + \frac{2}{3}R_{pq}R^{pq} - \frac{1}{3}R^2\right) \;. \tag{2.15}$$

Acting on (2.14) with $\Pi_p{}^q X^3{}_q{}^m$, where $\Pi = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbb{1} + iX^1\right)$ is the projector on the $(1,0)$ part, one obtains the equivalent form

$$D^{(1,0)}(\lambda^2 + i\lambda^3) + \Theta^{(1,0)} \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{2.16}$$

where $D^{(1,0)}_m = \Pi_m{}^n(\nabla_n + iP_n)$ is the holomorphic Kähler covariant derivative, and we defined $\Theta^{(1,0)}_m = \Pi_m{}^n X^3{}_n{}^p \Xi_p$. Eq. (2.16) determines $\lambda^2 + i\lambda^3$, and hence G^-, up to an anti-holomorphic function. This concludes the analysis of the timelike case as presented in [1].

It was first pointed out in [9] that for equation (2.13) to admit a solution, a constraint on the Kähler geometry must be satisfied. Hence not all four-dimensional Kähler bases give rise to supersymmetric solutions. While in [9] this was shown for a specific family of Kähler bases, here we provide a general formulation. Taking the divergence of (2.14) and using (2.5) we find

$$\nabla^m \Xi_m \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{2.17}$$

that is

$$\nabla^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 R + \frac{2}{3}R_{pq}R^{pq} - \frac{1}{3}R^2\right) + \nabla^m(R_{mn}\partial^n R) \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{2.18}$$

We thus obtain a rather complicated sixth-order equation constraining the Kähler metric.[4] To the best of our knowledge, this has not appeared in the physical or mathematical literature before. We observe that the term $(\nabla^2)^2 R + 2\nabla^m(R_{mn}\partial^n R)$ corresponds to the real part of the Lichnerowicz operator acting on R, which vanishes for *extremal* Kähler metrics (see *e.g.* [16, sect. 4.1]). Thus in this case (2.18) reduces to $\nabla^2\left(2R_{pq}R^{pq} - R^2\right) = 0$. If the Kähler metric has constant Ricci scalar, the constraint simplifies further to $\nabla^2(R_{pq}R^{pq}) = 0$. Finally, if the Kähler metric is homogeneous, or Einstein, then $\Xi = 0$ and the constraint is trivially satisfied.[5]

[4] It can also be derived starting from the observation that since $D^{(1,0)}$ is a good differential, namely $\left(D^{(1,0)}\right)^2 = 0$, equation (2.16) has the integrability condition $D^{(1,0)}\Theta^{(1,0)} = 0$. The latter is an a priori complex equation, however one finds that the real part is automatically satisfied while the imaginary part is equivalent to (2.18).

[5] Constraints on (six-dimensional and eight-dimensional) Kähler metrics involving higher-derivative curvature terms were also found in the study of AdS_3 and AdS_2 supersymmetric solutions to type IIB and 11-dimensional supergravity, respectively [17, 18, 19].

To summarise, the five-dimensional metric and the gauge field strength are determined by the four-dimensional Kähler geometry up to an anti-holomorphic function. The Kähler metric is constrained by the sixth-order equation (2.18). Moreover, one needs $R \neq 0$. The conditions spelled out above are necessary and sufficient for obtaining a supersymmetric solution of the timelike class. The solutions preserve at least 1/4 of the supersymmetry, namely two real supercharges.

3 Orthotoric solutions

3.1 The ansatz

In this section we construct supersymmetric solutions following the procedure described above. We start from a very general ansatz for the four-dimensional base, given by a class of local Kähler metrics known as *orthotoric*. These were introduced in ref. [20], to which we refer for an account of their mathematical properties.[6]

The orthotoric Kähler metric reads

$$g^2\,\mathrm{d}s_B^2 \;=\; \frac{\eta-\xi}{\mathcal{F}(\xi)}\mathrm{d}\xi^2+\frac{\mathcal{F}(\xi)}{\eta-\xi}(\mathrm{d}\Phi+\eta\mathrm{d}\Psi)^2+\frac{\eta-\xi}{\mathcal{G}(\eta)}\mathrm{d}\eta^2+\frac{\mathcal{G}(\eta)}{\eta-\xi}(\mathrm{d}\Phi+\xi\mathrm{d}\Psi)^2\ , \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\eta)$ are a priori arbitrary functions. Note that $\partial/\partial\Phi$, $\partial/\partial\Psi$ are Killing vector fields, hence this is a co-homogeneity two metric. The Kähler form has a universal expression, independent of $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$, $\mathcal{G}(\eta)$:

$$X^1 \;=\; \frac{1}{g^2}\,\mathrm{d}\left[(\eta+\xi)\mathrm{d}\Phi+\eta\xi\,\mathrm{d}\Psi\right]\ . \tag{3.2}$$

The term orthotoric means that the momentum maps $\eta+\xi$, $\eta\xi$ for the Hamiltonian Killing vector fields $\partial/\partial\Phi$, $\partial/\partial\Psi$, respectively, have the property that the one-forms $\mathrm{d}\xi$, $\mathrm{d}\eta$ are orthogonal. As a consequence, the Kähler metric does not contain a $\mathrm{d}\eta\mathrm{d}\xi$ term.

It is convenient to introduce an orthonormal frame

$$\begin{aligned} E_1&=\frac{1}{g}\sqrt{\frac{\eta-\xi}{\mathcal{F}(\xi)}}\,\mathrm{d}\xi\ , \qquad E_2=\frac{1}{g}\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{F}(\xi)}{\eta-\xi}}\,(\mathrm{d}\Phi+\eta\mathrm{d}\Psi)\ ,\\ E_3&=\frac{1}{g}\sqrt{\frac{\eta-\xi}{\mathcal{G}(\eta)}}\,\mathrm{d}\eta\ , \qquad E_4=\frac{1}{g}\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{G}(\eta)}{\eta-\xi}}\,(\mathrm{d}\Phi+\xi\mathrm{d}\Psi)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

[6] This ansatz was also considered in [21], however only the case $\mathcal{F}(x)=-\mathcal{G}(x)$, where these are cubic polynomials, was discussed there. In this case the metric (3.1) is equivalent to the Bergmann metric on $SU(2,1)/S(U(2)\times U(1))$. Orthotoric metrics also appear in Sasaki-Einstein geometry: as shown in [22], the Kähler-Einstein bases of $L^{p,q,r}$ Sasaki-Einstein manifolds [23] are of this type.

with volume form $\text{vol}_B = -E_1 \wedge E_2 \wedge E_3 \wedge E_4$. Then the Kähler form can be written as

$$X^1 \;=\; E_1 \wedge E_2 + E_3 \wedge E_4 \ . \tag{3.4}$$

For the complex two-form Ω we can take

$$\Omega \;=\; X^2 + iX^3 \;=\; (E_1 - iE_2) \wedge (E_3 - iE_4) \ . \tag{3.5}$$

This satisfies the properties (2.5), (2.6), with the Ricci form potential given by

$$P \;=\; \frac{\mathcal{F}'(\xi)(\mathrm{d}\Phi + \eta \mathrm{d}\Psi) + \mathcal{G}'(\eta)(\mathrm{d}\Phi + \xi \mathrm{d}\Psi)}{2(\xi - \eta)} \ . \tag{3.6}$$

Other formulae that we will need are the Ricci scalar

$$R \;=\; g^2 \, \frac{\mathcal{F}''(\xi) + \mathcal{G}''(\eta)}{\xi - \eta} \ , \tag{3.7}$$

and its Laplacian

$$\nabla^2 R \;=\; \frac{g^2}{\eta - \xi} \left[\partial_\xi (\mathcal{F} \, \partial_\xi R) + \partial_\eta (\mathcal{G} \, \partial_\eta R) \right] \ . \tag{3.8}$$

3.2 The solution

To construct the solution we plug our orthotoric ansatz in the supersymmetry equations of section 2. Eq. (2.7) gives for the function f

$$f \;=\; \frac{24(\eta - \xi)}{\mathcal{F}''(\xi) + \mathcal{G}''(\eta)} \ . \tag{3.9}$$

In order to solve eq. (2.9) for ω, we need to first construct G^+, G^-. From eq. (2.10) we obtain

$$G^+ \;=\; \frac{1}{8g} (\partial_\xi \mathcal{H} - \partial_\eta \mathcal{H}) \, (E_1 \wedge E_2 - E_3 \wedge E_4) \ , \tag{3.10}$$

where we introduced the useful combination

$$\mathcal{H}(\eta, \xi) \;=\; g^2 \, \frac{\mathcal{F}'(\xi) + \mathcal{G}'(\eta)}{\eta - \xi} \ . \tag{3.11}$$

We recall that $G^- = \frac{1}{2gR} \sum_{I=1}^3 \lambda^I X^I$, and we have to compute the functions $\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^3$. Eq. (2.12) gives

$$\lambda^1 \;=\; \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 R - \frac{2}{3} \, \partial_\xi \mathcal{H} \, \partial_\eta \mathcal{H} \ , \tag{3.12}$$

where $\nabla^2 R$ was expressed in terms of orthotoric data above. In order to solve for λ^2, λ^3, we have to analyse the constraint (2.18) on the Kähler metric. Plugging our ansatz in, we obtain the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_\xi \left[\mathcal{F}\,\partial_\xi\mathcal{H}\,\partial_\xi(\partial_\xi\mathcal{H}+\partial_\eta\mathcal{H}) + \mathcal{F}\,\partial_\xi\left(\nabla^2 R - \tfrac{4}{3}\,\partial_\xi\mathcal{H}\,\partial_\eta\mathcal{H}\right)\right] \\ +\ &\partial_\eta \left[\mathcal{G}\,\partial_\eta\mathcal{H}\,\partial_\eta(\partial_\xi\mathcal{H}+\partial_\eta\mathcal{H}) + \mathcal{G}\,\partial_\eta\left(\nabla^2 R - \tfrac{4}{3}\,\partial_\xi\mathcal{H}\,\partial_\eta\mathcal{H}\right)\right] \ =\ 0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

This is a complicated sixth-order equation for the two functions $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\eta)$, that we have not been able to solve in general. However, we have found the cubic polynomial solution

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\eta) &= g_4(\eta-g_1)(\eta-g_2)(\eta-g_3)\ , \\ \mathcal{F}(\xi) &= -\mathcal{G}(\xi) + f_1(\xi+f_0)^3\ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

comprising six arbitrary[7] parameters $g_1,\dots,g_4,\ f_0,\ f_1$. We thus continue assuming that $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ take the form (3.14). We can then solve eq. (2.14) for λ^2, λ^3. Assuming a dependence on η, ξ only, the solution is

$$\lambda^2 + i\lambda^3 \ =\ i\,g^4\,\frac{\mathcal{F}'''+\mathcal{G}'''}{(\eta-\xi)^3}\sqrt{\mathcal{F}(\xi)\mathcal{G}(\eta)}\ + g^4\frac{c_2+ic_3}{\sqrt{\mathcal{F}(\xi)\mathcal{G}(\eta)}}\ , \tag{3.15}$$

with c_2, c_3 real integration constants. One can promote $c_2 + ic_3$ to an arbitrary anti-holomorphic function, however we will not discuss such generalisation in this paper (see [9] for an example where this has been done explicitly).

We now have all the ingredients to solve eq. (2.9) and determine ω. The solution is

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \ =\ &\frac{\mathcal{F}'''+\mathcal{G}'''}{48g(\eta-\xi)^2}\Big\{\left[\mathcal{F}(\xi)+(\eta-\xi)\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}'(\xi)-\tfrac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}'''(\xi)(f_0+\xi)^2\right)\right](\mathrm{d}\Phi+\eta\mathrm{d}\Psi) \\ &+\mathcal{G}(\eta)(\mathrm{d}\Phi+\xi\mathrm{d}\Psi)\Big\} - \frac{\mathcal{F}'''\mathcal{G}'''}{288g}\left[(\eta+\xi)\mathrm{d}\Phi+\eta\xi\,\mathrm{d}\Psi\right] \\ &-\frac{c_2}{48g}\Big(I_1\frac{\xi\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathcal{F}(\xi)}+I_2\frac{\eta\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathcal{G}(\eta)}+\Phi\mathrm{d}\Psi\Big) - \frac{c_3}{48g}\left[(I_1-I_2)\mathrm{d}\Phi+(I_3-I_4)\mathrm{d}\Psi\right]+\mathrm{d}\chi\ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$I_1=\int\frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathcal{G}(\eta)}\ ,\qquad I_2=\int\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathcal{F}(\xi)}\ ,\qquad I_3=\int\frac{\eta\,\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathcal{G}(\eta)}\ ,\qquad I_4=\int\frac{\xi\,\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathcal{F}(\xi)}\ . \tag{3.17}$$

[7] This includes the case where $g_4 \to 0$ and one or more roots diverge, so that the cubic $\mathcal{G}$ degenerates to a polynomial of lower degree. Same for $\mathcal{F}$.

Moreover, $\mathrm{d}\chi$ is an arbitrary locally exact one-form, which in the five-dimensional metric can be reabsorbed by a change of the y coordinate. For $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ as in (3.14), the integrals $I_1, \ldots, I_4$ can be expressed in terms of the roots of the polynomials. We have:

$$I_1 = \frac{\log(\eta - g_1)}{g_4(g_1 - g_2)(g_1 - g_3)} + \text{cycl}(1,2,3) \ , \qquad I_3 = \frac{g_1 \log(\eta - g_1)}{g_4(g_1 - g_2)(g_1 - g_3)} + \text{cycl}(1,2,3) \ , \tag{3.18}$$

and similarly for I_2 and I_4 (although the roots of $\mathcal{F}$ in (3.14) expressed in terms of the parameters $g_1, \ldots, g_4$, f_0, f_1 are less simple). Here, $\text{cycl}(1,2,3)$ denotes cyclic permutations of the roots.

Note that if $c_2 \neq 0$ then ω explicitly depends on one of the angular coordinates Φ, Ψ, hence the $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry of the orthotoric base is broken to a single $U(1)$ in the five-dimensional metric.

Also note that when $\mathcal{F}''' + \mathcal{G}''' = 0$, namely the coefficients of the cubic terms in the polynomials are opposite, expression (3.16) simplifies drastically. Then we see that the term in $\mathrm{d}\omega$ independent of c_2, c_3 is proportional to the Kähler form X^1. Moreover the base becomes Kähler-Einstein. This class of solutions was pointed out in [1], where it was explored for the case the base is the space $SU(2,1)/S(U(2) \times U(1))$ endowed with the Bergmann metric. This is the non-compact analog of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with the Fubini-Study metric, and the corresponding solution with $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ is pure AdS_5.

To summarise, we started from the orthotoric ansatz (3.1) for the four-dimensional Kähler metric, studied the sixth-order constraint (2.18) and found a solution in terms of cubic polynomials $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$ containing six arbitrary parameters, *cf.* (3.14). We also provided explicit expressions for P, f and ω (*cf.* (3.6), (3.9), (3.16)), with the solution for ω containing the additional parameters c_2, c_3. Plugging these expressions in the metric (2.4) and Maxwell field (2.8), we thus obtain a supersymmetric solution to minimal gauged supergravity controlled by eight parameters. We now show that three of the six parameters in the polynomials are actually trivial in the five-dimensional solution.

3.3 Triviality of three parameters

As a first thing, we observe that one is always free to rescale the four-dimensional Kähler base by a constant factor. This is because the spinor solving the supersymmetry equation (2.3) is defined up to a multiplicative constant, and the spinor bilinears inherit such

rescaling freedom. This leads to the transformation

$$X^I \to \kappa X^I , \qquad f \to \kappa f , \qquad y \to \kappa^{-1} y ,$$
$$\mathrm{d}s_B^2 \to \kappa \, \mathrm{d}s_B^2 , \qquad P \to P , \qquad \omega \to \kappa^{-1} \omega , \tag{3.19}$$

where κ is a non-zero constant. Clearly this leaves the five-dimensional metric (2.4) and the gauge field (2.8) invariant.

Let us now consider a supersymmetric solution whose Kähler base metric $\mathrm{d}s_B^2$ is in the orthotoric form (3.1), with some given functions $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\eta)$. Then we can use the symmetry above to rescale these two functions. Indeed after performing the transformation we have $(\mathrm{d}s_B^2)^{\mathrm{old}} = \kappa \, (\mathrm{d}s_B^2)^{\mathrm{new}}$, and the new Kähler metric is again in orthotoric form, with the redefinitions

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{old}} = \kappa^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{new}} , \qquad \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{old}} = \kappa^{-1} \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{new}} , \qquad \Phi^{\mathrm{old}} = \kappa \, \Phi^{\mathrm{new}} , \qquad \Psi^{\mathrm{old}} = \kappa \, \Psi^{\mathrm{new}} . \tag{3.20}$$

Hence the overall scale of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is irrelevant as far as the five-dimensional solution is concerned. A slightly more complicated transformation that we can perform is

$$\xi^{\mathrm{old}} = \kappa_2 \xi^{\mathrm{new}} + \kappa_3 , \qquad \eta^{\mathrm{old}} = \kappa_2 \eta^{\mathrm{new}} + \kappa_3 ,$$
$$\Psi^{\mathrm{old}} = \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \Psi^{\mathrm{new}} , \qquad \Phi^{\mathrm{old}} = \kappa_1 (\kappa_2^2 \Phi^{\mathrm{new}} - \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \Psi^{\mathrm{new}}) ,$$
$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{old}}(\xi^{\mathrm{old}}) = \kappa_1^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{new}}(\xi^{\mathrm{new}}) , \qquad \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{old}}(\eta^{\mathrm{old}}) = \kappa_1^{-1} \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{new}}(\eta^{\mathrm{new}}) . \tag{3.21}$$

with arbitrary constants $\kappa_1 \neq 0$, $\kappa_2 \neq 0$ and κ_3, such that $\kappa_1 \kappa_2^3 = \kappa$. It is easy to see that the new metric $(\mathrm{d}s_B^2)^{\mathrm{new}}$ is again orthotoric, though with different cubic functions $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ compared to the old ones.

We conclude that a supersymmetric solution with orthotoric Kähler base is locally equivalent to another orthotoric solution, with functions

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{new}}(\xi) = \kappa_1 \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{old}}(\kappa_2 \xi + \kappa_3) , \qquad \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{new}}(\eta) = \kappa_1 \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{old}}(\kappa_2 \eta + \kappa_3) . \tag{3.22}$$

Using this freedom, we can argue that three of the six parameters in our orthotoric solution are trivial. In the next section we will show that the remaining ones are not trivial by relating our solution with $c_2 = c_3 = 0$ to the solution of [6].

3.4 Relation to the solution of [6]

The authors of [6] provide a four-parameter family of AAdS solutions to minimal five-dimensional gauged supergravity. The generic solution preserves $U(1) \times U(1) \times \mathbb{R}$ symmetry (where $\mathbb{R}$ is the time direction) and is non-supersymmetric. By fixing one of the

parameters, one obtains a family of supersymmetric solutions, controlled by the three remaining parameters a, b, m. This includes the most general supersymmetric black hole free of closed timelike curves (CTC's) known in minimal gauged supergravity, as well as a family of topological solitons. Generically, the supersymmetric solutions are 1/4 BPS in the five-dimensional theory, namely they preserve two real supercharges. For $b = a$ or $b = -a$, the symmetry is enhanced to $SU(2) \times U(1) \times \mathbb{R}$.

We find that upon a change of coordinates the supersymmetric solution of [6] fits in our orthotoric solution, with polynomial functions $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$ of the type discussed above. In detail, the five-dimensional metric and gauge field strength of [6] match (2.4), (2.8), with the data given in the previous section and $c_2 = c_3 = 0$. The change of coordinates is

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{\rm CCLP} &= y \\
\theta_{\rm CCLP} &= \frac{1}{2}\arccos\eta \\
r^2_{\rm CCLP} &= \frac{1}{2}(a^2-b^2)\tilde{m}\,\xi + \frac{1}{g}\left[(a+b)\tilde{m} + a + b + abg\right] + \frac{1}{2}(a+b)^2\tilde{m}\ , \\
\phi_{\rm CCLP} &= g\,y - 4\frac{1-a^2g^2}{(a^2-b^2)g^2\tilde{m}}\,(\Phi - \Psi)\ , \\
\psi_{\rm CCLP} &= g\,y - 4\frac{1-b^2g^2}{(a^2-b^2)g^2\tilde{m}}\,(\Phi + \Psi)\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where "CCLP" labels the coordinates of [6]. Here, we found convenient to trade m for

$$\tilde{m} \;=\; \frac{m\,g}{(a+b)(1+ag)(1+bg)(1+ag+bg)} - 1\,, \tag{3.24}$$

which is defined so that the black hole solution of [6] corresponds to $\tilde{m} = 0$. The cubic polynomials $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\eta)$ read

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}(\eta) &= -\frac{4}{(a^2-b^2)g^2\tilde{m}}(1-\eta^2)\left[(1-a^2g^2)(1+\eta) + (1-b^2g^2)(1-\eta)\right]\ , \\
\mathcal{F}(\xi) &= -\mathcal{G}(\xi) - 4\,\frac{1+\tilde{m}}{\tilde{m}}\left(\frac{2+ag+bg}{(a-b)g} + \xi\right)^3\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

and are clearly of the form (3.14).[8] The function χ in (3.16) is $\chi = -\frac{2\Psi}{g\tilde{m}}$. The Killing vector arising as a bilinear of the spinor ϵ solving the supersymmetry equation (2.3) is

$$V \;=\; \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \;=\; \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{\rm CCLP}} + g\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{\rm CCLP}} + g\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_{\rm CCLP}}\ . \tag{3.26}$$

[8]Note that the present orthotoric form of the solution in [6], which is adapted to supersymmetry, does not use the same coordinates of the Plebański-Demiański-like form appearing in [24].

Combining the arguments of section 3.3 with the map just presented, we conclude that for $c_2 = c_3 = 0$, the family of supersymmetric solutions we have constructed is (at least locally) equivalent to the supersymmetric solutions of [6].

We checked that when either c_2 or c_3 (or both) are switched on, the boundary metric is no more conformally flat, hence the solution becomes AlAdS and is not diffeomorphic to the $c_2 = c_3 = 0$ case. We have thus obtained a *new* two-parameter AlAdS deformation of the AAdS solutions of [6]. Choosing $c_2 \neq 0, c_3 = 0$ and χ in (3.16) as $\chi = -\frac{2\Psi}{g\tilde{m}} + \frac{c_2}{48g} I_1 I_4$, the boundary metric appears to be regular and of type Petrov III like that of [1, 8].[9] Its explicit expression in the coordinates of [6] is (below we drop the label "CCLP" on the coordinates):

$$\mathrm{d}s^2_{\mathrm{bdry}} \;=\; \mathrm{d}s^2_{\mathrm{bdry,CCLP}} + \mathrm{d}s^2_{c_2} \;, \tag{3.27}$$

where the undeformed boundary metric of [6], obtained sending $gr \to \infty$, is

$$\mathrm{d}s^2_{\mathrm{bdry,CCLP}} \;=\; -\frac{\Delta_\theta}{\Xi_a \Xi_b}\mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{1}{g^2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta^2}{\Delta_\theta} + \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\Xi_a}\mathrm{d}\phi^2 + \frac{\cos^2\theta}{\Xi_b}\mathrm{d}\psi^2\right), \tag{3.28}$$

with $\Xi_a = 1 - a^2 g^2$, $\Xi_b = 1 - b^2 g^2$ and

$$\Delta_\theta = 1 - a^2 g^2 \cos^2\theta - b^2 g^2 \sin^2\theta \;, \tag{3.29}$$

while the deformation is linear in c_2 and reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}s^2_{c_2} = c_2 \frac{g^2 \tilde{m}^2 \left(a^2 - b^2\right)^2}{1536 \Xi_a^3 \Xi_b^3} \big(gt(\Xi_a + \Xi_b) - \Xi_b \phi - \Xi_a \psi\big)\big(- g\mathrm{d}t\,(\Xi_a - \Xi_b) - \Xi_b \mathrm{d}\phi + \Xi_a \mathrm{d}\psi\big) \\ \times \big(-(\Xi_a \cos^2\theta + \Xi_b \sin^2\theta) g\mathrm{d}t + \Xi_a \cos^2\theta \mathrm{d}\psi + \Xi_b \sin^2\theta \mathrm{d}\phi\big) \;.\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

It would be interesting to study further the regularity properties of these deformations and see if they generalise the similar solutions of [1, 8, 9].

Note that both the change of coordinates (3.23) and the polynomials (3.25) are singular in the limits $\tilde{m} \to 0$ or $b \to a$, while they remain finite when $b \to -a$. (When we take $b \to \pm a$, it is understood that we keep m, and not $\tilde{m}$, fixed). As already mentioned, these are physically relevant limits: $\tilde{m} \to 0$ defines the black hole solutions free of CTC's, while $b \to \pm a$ leads to solutions with enhanced symmetry. We clarify the singular limits in the next section.

[9] See [25] for a discussion of the Petrov type of supersymmetric boundaries.

4 A scaling limit and two special cases

In the following we show that a simple scaling limit of the orthotoric metric yields certain *non*-orthotoric Kähler metrics, that have previously been employed to construct supersymmetric solutions. We recover on the one hand the base metric considered in [9], and on the other hand an $SU(2)\times U(1)$ invariant Kähler metric. This proves that our orthotoric ansatz captures all known supersymmetric solutions to minimal five-dimensional gauged supergravity belonging to the timelike class. The procedure will also clarify the singular limits pointed out in the previous section.

We start by redefining three of the four orthotoric coordinates $\{\eta,\xi,\Phi,\Psi\}$ as

$$\Phi=\varepsilon\,\phi\ ,\qquad \Psi=\varepsilon\,\psi\ ,\qquad \xi=-\varepsilon^{-1}\,\rho\ , \tag{4.1}$$

where ε is a parameter that we will send to zero. For the metric to be well-behaved in the limit, we also assume that the functions $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$ satisfy

$$\mathcal{G}(\eta)=\varepsilon^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\eta)+\mathcal{O}(1)\ ,\qquad \mathcal{F}(\xi)=\varepsilon^{-3}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\rho)+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2})\ , \tag{4.2}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\eta)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\rho)$ are independent of ε and thus remain finite in the limit. Plugging these in the orthotoric metric (3.1) and sending $\varepsilon\to 0$ we obtain

$$g^2\mathrm{d}s_B^2\ =\ g^2\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathrm{d}s^2_{\text{ortho}}\ =\ \frac{\rho}{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\rho)}\mathrm{d}\rho^2+\frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\rho)}{\rho}(\mathrm{d}\phi+\eta\,\mathrm{d}\psi)^2+\rho\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\eta^2}{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\eta)}+\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\eta)\mathrm{d}\psi^2\right)\ . \tag{4.3}$$

This is a Kähler metric of Calabi type (see *e.g.* [20]), with associated Kähler form

$$X^1\ =\ -\frac{1}{g^2}\,\mathrm{d}\left[\rho(\mathrm{d}\phi+\eta\mathrm{d}\psi)\right]\ . \tag{4.4}$$

At this stage the functions $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\rho)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\eta)$ are arbitrary. Of course, for (4.3) to be the base of a supersymmetric solution we still need to impose on $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\rho)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\eta)$ the equation following from the constraint (2.18).

We next consider two subcases: in the former we fix $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and recover the metric studied in [9], while in the latter we fix $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ and obtain an $SU(2)\times U(1)$ invariant metric.

Case 1. We take $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\rho)=4\rho^3+\rho^2$ and subsequently redefine $\rho=\frac{1}{4}\sinh^2(g\sigma)$. Then (4.3) becomes

$$\mathrm{d}s_B^2\ =\ \mathrm{d}\sigma^2+\frac{1}{4g^2}\sinh^2(g\sigma)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\eta^2}{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\eta)}+\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\eta)\mathrm{d}\psi^2+\cosh^2(g\sigma)(\mathrm{d}\phi+\eta\mathrm{d}\psi)^2\right)\ , \tag{4.5}$$

which is precisely the metric appearing in eq. (7.8) of [9] (upon identifying $\eta = x$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\eta) = H(x)$). In this case our equation (2.18) becomes

$$\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^2\,\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}''''\right)'' \;=\; 0\;, \tag{4.6}$$

that coincides with the constraint found in [9]. As discussed in [9], this Kähler base metric supports the most general supersymmetric black hole solution free of CTC's that is known within minimal five-dimensional gauged supergravity. This is obtained from the supersymmetric solutions of [6] by setting $\tilde{m} = 0$. In fact, the limit $\tilde{m} \to 0$ in the map (3.23), (3.25) is an example of the present $\varepsilon \to 0$ limit, where the resulting $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\eta)$ is a cubic polynomial [7, 9].[10] Particular non-polynomial solutions to eq. (4.6) were found in [9], however in the same paper these were shown to yield unacceptable singularities in the five-dimensional metric.

Case 2. If instead we take $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\eta) = 1 - \eta^2$ and redefine $\eta = \cos\theta$, then the metric (4.3) becomes

$$g^2\,\mathrm{d}s_B^2 \;=\; \frac{\rho}{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\rho)}\mathrm{d}\rho^2 + \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\rho)}{\rho}(\mathrm{d}\phi + \cos\theta\,\mathrm{d}\psi)^2 + \rho\left(\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\mathrm{d}\psi^2\right)\;, \tag{4.7}$$

with Kähler form

$$X^1 \;=\; -\frac{1}{g^2}\,\mathrm{d}\left[\rho(\mathrm{d}\phi + \cos\theta\mathrm{d}\psi)\right]\;. \tag{4.8}$$

This has enhanced $SU(2)\times U(1)$ symmetry compared to the $U(1)\times U(1)$ invariant orthotoric metric. It is in fact the most general Kähler metric with such symmetry and is equivalent, by a simple change of variable, to the metric ansatz employed in [2] to construct the first supersymmetric AAdS black hole free of CTC's. The constraint (2.18) becomes a sixth-order equation for $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\rho)$. This is explicitly solved if $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\rho)$ satisfies the fifth-order equation

$$\begin{aligned}&16(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}')^2 + 4\rho^2\left(6\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}'' + (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}'')^2 - 2\rho\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(3)}\right) + 2\rho\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}'\left(-24 - 4\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}'' - 4\rho\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(3)} + 3\rho^2\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(4)}\right)\\ &-3\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\left(-16 + 8\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}'' - 8\rho\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(3)} + 4\rho^2\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(4)} - \rho^3\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(5)}\right) \;=\; 0\;.\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

[10] This can be seen starting from (3.23), (3.25) and redefining $\tilde{m} = -\frac{8\alpha^2}{(a^2-b^2)}\varepsilon$ and $r^2 = r_0^2 + 4\alpha^2\rho$, where we are denoting $\alpha^2 = r_0^2 + \frac{(1+ag+bg)^2}{g^2}$ and $r_0^2 = \frac{a+b+abg}{g}$. It follows that $\xi = \varepsilon^{-1}\rho + \mathcal{O}(1)$. Then implementing the scaling limit described above we get $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\rho) = 4\rho^3 + \rho^2$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta^2)\left[A_1^2 + A_2^2 + (A_1^2 - A_2^2)\eta\right]$ with $A_1^2 = \frac{1-a^2g^2}{g^2\alpha^2}$ and $A_2^2 = \frac{1-b^2g^2}{g^2\alpha^2}$. This makes contact with the description of the supersymmetric black holes of [6] given in [7, 9].

Upon a change of variable, the latter is equivalent to the sixth-order equation presented in [2, eq. (4.23)]. It was proved there that a solution completely specifies an $SU(2)\times U(1)$ invariant five-dimensional metric and graviphoton. We find that a simple solution to (4.9) is provided by a cubic polynomial

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\rho)=f_0+f_1\rho+f_2\rho^2+f_3\rho^3\ ,\quad \text{such that}\quad f_1^2+3f_0(1-f_2)=0\ . \tag{4.10}$$

Supersymmetric AAdS solutions with $SU(2)\times U(1)$ symmetry were also found in [5] and further discussed in [15]. It is easy to check that after scaling away a trivial parameter, the five-dimensional solution determined by (4.10) in fact reproduces[11] the two-parameter "case B" solution given in [15, sect. 3.4]. In turn, the latter includes the black hole of [2], and a family of topological solitons for particular values of the parameters.

The special case $f_1=0$, $f_2=1$ yields the most general Kähler-Einstein metric with $SU(2)\times U(1)$ isometry; this has curvature $R=-6g^2f_3$ and is diffeomorphic to the Bergmann metric only for $f_0=0$. The corresponding $SU(2)\times U(1)$ invariant five-dimensional solution is "Lorentzian Sasaki-Einstein": for $f_0=0$ this is just AdS_5, while for $f_0\neq 0$ it features a curvature singularity at $\rho=0$.

In [10], a different solution of equation (4.9) was put forward, leading to a smooth AlAdS five-dimensional metric. The non-conformally flat boundary is given by a squashed $S^3\times\mathbb{R}$, where the squashing is along the Hopf fibre and thus preserves $SU(2)\times U(1)$ symmetry.

A particular example of this $\varepsilon\to 0$ limit is given by the $b\to a$ limit in the map (3.23), (3.25) relating the solution of [6] and the one based on our orthotoric ansatz.[12] In fact, taking $b=a$ in the solutions of [6] yields precisely the solutions presented in [15, sect. 3.4].

Note that since the black hole of [2] is obtained from the general solution of [6] by taking $\tilde{m}=0$ and $b=a$, it belongs both to our cases 1 and 2.

In figure 1 we summarise the relation between different Kähler metrics and the corresponding AAdS solutions in five dimensions.

[11] In the case the charges are set equal, so that the two vector multiplets of the $U(1)^3$ gauged theory can be truncated away and the solution exists within minimal gauged supergravity.

[12] This can be seen starting from (3.23), (3.25), redefining $b=a+8(1-a^2g^2)\big[\frac{g^3m}{(1+2ag)(1+ag)^2}-2ag^2\big]^{-1}\varepsilon$ after having re-expressed $\tilde{m}$ as in (3.24), and implementing the scaling limit. This gives $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\eta)=1-\eta^2$ and a cubic polynomial $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\rho)$ satisfying (4.10).



Figure 1: Kähler base metrics (above) and corresponding known AAdS solutions (below), with relevant references.

5 Topological solitons

In this section we focus on a sub-family of the solution of [6], comprising "topological solitons" with non-trivial geometry but no horizon. *A priori*, these may be considered as candidate gravity dual to pure states of SCFT's defined on $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. In section 5.1 we consider the non-vanishing vacuum expectation values of the energy and R-charge of such theories, and we look for a possible gravity dual. The constraints from the superalgebra naturally lead us to consider a 1/2 BPS topological soliton, however a direct comparison of the charges with the SCFT vacuum expectation values shows that these do not match. In section 5.2 we argue that in the dual SCFT certain background R-symmetry field must be turned on, implying a constraint on the R-charges and suggesting that the state dual to the topological soliton is different from the vacuum. Finally, in section 5.3 we show that the one-parameter family of 1/4 BPS topological solitons presented in [6] is generically plagued with conical singularities.

5.1 Comparison with the supersymmetric Casimir energy

In this section we assess the possible relevance of the supergravity solutions discussed above to account for the vacuum state of dual four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFT's defined on the cylinder $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ [26, 27, 14, 28, 29]. The field theory background is specified by a round metric on S^3 with radius r_3, and by a flat connection for a non-dynamical gauge field $A^{\rm cs}$ coupling to the R-current.[13] Crucially, $A^{\rm cs}$ is chosen in such a way that half of the eight supercharges in the superconformal algebra commute with the Hamiltonian generating time translations on the cylinder. In this way we ensure that this half of the

[13]The label "cs" refers to the fact that this is the gauge field of the four-dimensional conformal supergravity that determines how the SCFT is coupled to curved space.

supercharges is preserved when the Euclidean time on the cylinder is compactified to a circle. The Hamiltonian, that we will denote by $H_{\rm susy}$, is related to the operator Δ generating dilatations in flat space as $H_{\rm susy} = \Delta - \frac{1}{2r_3}R$, where R is the R-charge operator (see [14] for more details). We will call $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$, $\mathcal{Q}^{\dagger\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2$ the conserved supercharges. They transform in the $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$ representation of the $SU(2)_{\rm left} \times SU(2)_{\rm right}$ group acting on S^3, and their anti-commutator is [30]

$$\frac{1}{2}\{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha, \mathcal{Q}^{\dagger\beta}\} \;=\; \delta^\beta{}_\alpha\Big(H_{\rm susy} - \frac{1}{r_3}R\Big) - \frac{2}{r_3}\sigma^{i\,\beta}{}_\alpha J^i_{\rm left}\ , \tag{5.1}$$

where the $J^i_{\rm left}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, generate the $SU(2)_{\rm left}$ angular momentum and σ^i are the Pauli matrices. The input from [14] is that the vacuum preserves all four $\mathcal{Q}$ supercharges, and that the bosonic charges evaluate to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_{\rm susy}\rangle \;&\equiv\; \langle\Delta\rangle - \frac{1}{2r_3}\langle R\rangle \;=\; \frac{1}{r_3}\langle R\rangle \;=\; \frac{4}{27r_3}(\mathbf{a} + 3\mathbf{c})\ , \\ \langle J_{\rm left}\rangle \;&=\; 0\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{c}$ are the SCFT central charges. In [31, 14], these a priori divergent quantities were proved free of ambiguities as long as their regularisation does not break supersymmetry. For this proof to hold, it is important that the supercharges are preserved when the Euclidean time is compactified.

Guided by the information above, we infer that the dual supergravity solution should be AAdS and preserve (at least) four supercharges. Moreover, it should allow for a graviphoton behaving as $A \to c\,\mathrm{d}t$ at the boundary, where c is a constant chosen so that the asymptotic Killing spinors generating (5.1) do not depend on time; in our conventions, this must be $c = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. Indeed, the general Killing spinor of AdS_5 that solves (2.3) asymptotically reduces to a Weyl spinor on the boundary which, in standard two-component notation, may be written as

$$\epsilon \quad \xrightarrow[r\to\infty]{} \quad \epsilon_\alpha \;=\; (gr)^{1/2}\left(e^{\frac{i}{2}(\sqrt{3}c+1)gt}\zeta_\alpha + e^{\frac{i}{2}(\sqrt{3}c-1)gt}(\sigma_0\bar\eta)_\alpha\right)\ , \tag{5.3}$$

where ζ_α and $\bar\eta^{\dot\alpha}$ are arbitrary Weyl spinors on the $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ boundary, independent of t and transforming as $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$ and $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})$, respectively, under the action of $SU(2)_{\rm left} \times SU(2)_{\rm right}$. We see that choosing $c = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$, half of the spinors become independent of time. These are the spinors that should be preserved by the solution: asymptotically, they generate the superalgebra (5.1). Note that if we Wick rotate $t \to -i\tau$ and compactify the Euclidean time τ, then the other half of the Killing spinors is not well-defined. Hence we should

regard Euclidean AAdS spaces (including pure AdS) with compact $S^3 \times S^1$ boundary as preserving at most four supercharges.

Assuming to work in the context of type IIB supergravity on Sasaki-Einstein five-manifolds, we can translate in gravity units the value of the vacuum energy and R-charge given in (5.2) using the standard dictionary $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{c} = \frac{\pi^2}{g^3\kappa_5^2}$. We shall also fix the radius of the boundary S^3 to $r_3 = 1/g$ for simplicity. Finally, we map the field theory vevs into supergravity charges as $\langle \Delta \rangle = E$, $\langle R \rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}g} Q$ and $\langle J_{\text{left}} \rangle = J_{\text{left}}$, where E is the total gravitational energy, Q the electric charge under the graviphoton and J_{left} the left angular momentum. We thus obtain the following expected values for the charges of the dual gravity solution:[14]

$$E \;=\; -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} Q \;=\; \frac{8}{9} \frac{\pi^2}{g^2 \kappa_5^2} \;, \qquad\qquad J_{\text{left}} \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{5.4}$$

Note that the relation between E and Q and the vanishing of J_{left} also follow from the fact that the subset of the AdS supercharges respected by the solution anticommute into [15]

$$\{ \mathcal{Q}_{\text{sugra}}, \mathcal{Q}^{\dagger}_{\text{sugra}} \} \;=\; E + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} Q + 2 g \sigma^i J^i_{\text{left}} \;. \tag{5.5}$$

(J_{right} instead appears in the anti-commutator of broken supercharges.) This clearly reflects the field theory considerations above. While there exist different prescriptions for the computation of the energy in asymptotically AdS spacetimes, here we will base our statements on the fact that this must be related to the charge Q as dictated by the superalgebra. Regarding the evaluation of Q, we will rely on the standard formula

$$Q \;=\; \frac{1}{\kappa_5^2} \int_{S^3} *_5 F \;, \tag{5.6}$$

where the integration is performed over the three-sphere at the boundary. In general this formula would contain an additional $A \wedge F$ term, however our boundary conditions impose $F \to 0$ asymptotically, hence this does not contribute to the integral.

The obvious candidate to describe the vacuum state of the dual SCFT is global AdS_5; indeed the boundary is $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ and we are free to switch on a graviphoton component $A_t = c$ without introducing any pathology. However since $F = 0$ everywhere, the charge Q computed as in (5.6) obviously vanishes. A possible solution to this mismatch with (5.4) may come from a careful analysis of the compatibility between supersymmetry and the

[14] Recall that E is *not* the same as $E_{\text{susy}} = \langle H_{\text{susy}} \rangle$, but the two quantities are related as $E = \langle \Delta \rangle = \frac{3}{2} \langle H_{\text{susy}} \rangle = \frac{3}{2} E_{\text{susy}}$. We hope this notation will not cause confusion in the reader.

way the charges are evaluated. We will not address this issue here. Another option is that there may exist a solution different from empty AdS that is energetically favoured when the background gauge field is switched on. In the following we explore this possibility within the family of solutions discussed above in the paper. As we will see, the response is going to be negative, however the analysis will give us the opportunity to clarify various aspects of such solutions.

The requirement that the boundary be conformally flat sets $c_2 = c_3 = 0$, hence we are left precisely with the supersymmetric solutions of [6], controlled by the three parameters a, b, m. In addition, the need to preserve four supercharges imposes $a + b = 0$. This identifies a two-parameter family of solutions with $SU(2) \times U(1)$ invariance, originally found in [4] and further studied in [15].[15] As already observed, the limit $b \to -a$ (at fixed m) is smooth in the transformation (3.23), (3.25) between the coordinates of [6] and our orthotoric coordinates, and yields

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\rm CCLP} &= y \ , \qquad\qquad \theta_{\rm CCLP} = \frac{1}{2}\arccos\eta \\ r^2_{\rm CCLP} &= \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2 g^2}{q^2}\right)(\alpha g \xi + q) - \frac{\alpha^2}{q^2} \ , \\ \phi_{\rm CCLP} &= g\, y - \frac{2}{\alpha g^3}(\Phi - \Psi) \ , \qquad \psi_{\rm CCLP} = g\, y - \frac{2}{\alpha g^3}(\Phi + \Psi) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where we renamed the surviving parameters as

$$a = \frac{\alpha}{q} \ , \qquad m = \frac{(q^2 - \alpha^2 g^2)^2}{q^3} \ . \tag{5.8}$$

The polynomials $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\eta)$ in the orthotoric metric now become

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\eta) &= -\frac{4}{\alpha g^3}(1 - \eta^2) \ , \\ \mathcal{F}(\xi) &= -\mathcal{G}(\xi) - 4\left(\frac{q}{\alpha g} + \xi\right)^3 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

The coordinates $\{t, \theta, \phi, \psi, r\}$ used in [15] are reached by the further transformation

$$y = t \ , \quad \eta = \cos\theta \ , \quad \xi = \frac{r^2}{\alpha g} \ , \quad \Phi = \frac{\alpha g^3}{4}(\phi + 2gt) \ , \quad \Psi = \frac{\alpha g^3}{4}\psi \ . \tag{5.10}$$

[15] See the "case A" solutions in section 3.3 of [15], with all charges set equal, $q_1 = q_2 = q_3 = q$, so that the solution fits in minimal gauged supergravity. Refs. [6, 15] base their statements on the amount of supersymmetry of the solutions on a study of the eigenvalues of the Bogomolnyi matrix arising from the AdS superalgebra. We have done a check based on the integrability condition (given in (A.17) below) of the Killing spinor equation, and found agreement.

In these coordinates, the five-dimensional metric reads

$$\mathrm{d}s_5^2 \;=\; -\frac{r^2\mathcal{V}}{4B}\mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{\mathrm{d}r^2}{\mathcal{V}} + B(\mathrm{d}\psi + \cos\theta\mathrm{d}\phi + \mathfrak{f}\,\mathrm{d}t)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(r^2+q)(\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\mathrm{d}\phi^2)\ , \quad (5.11)$$

where

$$\mathcal{V} \;=\; \frac{r^4 + g^2(r^2+q)^3 - g^2\alpha^2}{r^2(r^2+q)}\ , \qquad B \;=\; \frac{(r^2+q)^3 - \alpha^2}{4(r^2+q)^2}\ , \qquad \mathfrak{f} \;=\; \frac{2\alpha r^2}{\alpha^2 - (r^2+q)^3}\ , \quad (5.12)$$

and the graviphoton is

$$A \;=\; \frac{\sqrt{3}}{r^2+q}\left(q\,\mathrm{d}t - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\,(\mathrm{d}\psi + \cos\theta\mathrm{d}\phi)\right) + c\,\mathrm{d}t\ . \quad (5.13)$$

Here, $\theta \in [0,\pi]$, $\phi \in [0,2\pi)$, $\psi \in [0,4\pi)$ are the standard Euler angles parameterising the three-sphere in the $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ boundary at $r = \infty$.

We observe that although the metric (5.11) and the gauge field (5.13) are invariant under the $SU(2)_{\rm left} \times U(1)_{\rm right}$ subgroup of the $SU(2)_{\rm left} \times SU(2)_{\rm right}$ acting on the S^3 at infinity, the solution does not fall in the ansatz of [2], and hence in case 2 of section 4, because the bilinears of the Killing spinors and the Kähler base metric (3.1) do not share the same symmetry. In particular, in the coordinates of [15] the supersymmetric Killing vector (3.26) reads

$$V \;=\; \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \;=\; \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - 2g\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\ , \quad (5.14)$$

which is invariant under $SU(2)_{\rm right}$ and transforms under $SU(2)_{\rm left}$, while the metric is invariant under $SU(2)_{\rm left} \times U(1)_{\rm right}$. In fact, the bilinears of the two independent Killing spinors of this 1/2 BPS solution give rise to three Killing vectors, which generate $SU(2)_{\rm left}$ and are $SU(2)_{\rm right}$ invariant.[16]

We can now discuss the charges, computed using the method of [15]. From (5.6), the charge under the graviphoton is found to be

$$Q \;=\; -4\sqrt{3}\,q\,\frac{\pi^2}{\kappa_5^2}\ . \quad (5.15)$$

The angular momentum conjugate to a rotational Killing vector K^μ is given by the Komar integral $J = \frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2}\int_{S^3} *_5\,\mathrm{d}K$, where $K = K_\mu \mathrm{d}x^\mu$. For the angular momentum $J_{\rm left}$ conjugate to $\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}$ we get

$$J_{\rm left} \;=\; 0\ , \quad (5.16)$$

[16]It follows that the Killing vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2g\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}$ put forward in [15] does not arise as a bilinear of the Killing spinors of the solution.

while J_{right}, conjugate to $\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}$, is controlled by α and reads

$$J_{\text{right}} \;=\; 2\alpha\,\frac{\pi^2}{\kappa_5^2}\;. \tag{5.17}$$

Finally, the energy was computed in [15] by integrating the first law of thermodynamics, with the result

$$E \;=\; -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}Q \;=\; 6\,q\,\frac{\pi^2}{\kappa_5^2}\;. \tag{5.18}$$

These values of the charges are in agreement with the superalgebra (5.5). It thus remains to check the numerical value of Q against the expected one in (5.4). Whether these match or not depends on the value of the parameter q. In order to see how this must be fixed, we need to discuss the global structure of the solution.

Let us first observe that by setting the rotational parameter $\alpha = 0$, the $SU(2)\times U(1)$ symmetry of (5.11), (5.13) is enhanced to $SO(4)$. This solution was originally found in [3] and contains a naked singularity for any value of $q \neq 0$. So while the $\alpha = 0$ limit provides the natural symmetries to describe the vacuum of an SCFT on $S^3\times\mathbb{R}$, it yields a solution that for any $q \neq 0$ is pathological, at least in supergravity. In appendix A we prove that there are no other supersymmetric solutions with $SO(4)\times\mathbb{R}$ symmetry within minimal gauged supergravity.

It was shown in [15] that the two-parameter family of solutions given by (5.11), (5.13) contains a regular topological soliton (while there are no black holes free of CTC's). This is obtained by tuning the rotational parameter α to the critical value

$$\alpha^2 \;=\; q^3\;. \tag{5.19}$$

Then the metric (5.11) has no horizon, is free of CTC's, and extends from $r = 0$ to ∞. In addition, for the r,ψ part of the metric to avoid a conical singularity while it shrinks as $r \to 0$, one has to impose

$$q \;=\; \frac{1}{9g^2}\;. \tag{5.20}$$

In this way one obtains a spin^c manifold with topology $\mathbb{R}\times(\mathcal{O}(-1)\to S^2)$, where the first factor is the time direction, and the second has the topology of Taub-Bolt space [15]. Since $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}gA$ is a connection on a spin^c bundle, as it can be seen from (2.3), one must also check the quantisation condition for the flux threading the two-cycle at $r = 0$. This reads

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g\int_{S^2}F \;\in\; \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}, \tag{5.21}$$

where the quantisation in half-integer units arises because the manifold is spin^c rather than spin. One can check that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g\int_{S^2}F \;=\; \frac{3}{2}g\,q^{1/2} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\,, \tag{5.22}$$

hence the condition is satisfied.

We can then proceed to plug (5.20) into (5.15). This gives

$$E \;=\; -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}Q \;=\; \frac{2}{3}\,\frac{\pi^2}{g^2\kappa_5^2}\,, \tag{5.23}$$

which is different from (5.4). In field theory units, this reads $\langle R\rangle = \frac{4}{9}\mathbf{a} \neq \frac{16}{27}\mathbf{a}$, where the latter is the vev of the R-charge in a supersymmetric vacuum [14] (recall footnote 14). We conclude that although this 1/2 BPS topological soliton is smooth and seemingly fullfills the requirements imposed by the field theory superalgebra, it is not dual to the vacuum state of an SCFT on the $S^3\times\mathbb{R}$ background. Below we will give further evidence that this solution cannot describe the supersymmetric vacuum state of a generic SCFT on $S^3\times\mathbb{R}$.

5.2 Remarks on 1/2 BPS topological solitons

Firstly, we note that the non-trivial topology of the solution entails an obstruction to its embedding into string theory, precisely analogous to the situation of the "bolt solutions" found in [32]. For instance, although the solution cannot be uplifted to type IIB supergravity on S^5 [15], there is a viable embedding if the orbifold $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3$ is chosen instead. We discuss this issue in some detail in appendix B, where we also allow for a more general Lens space $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_p$ topology for the spatial part of the boundary geometry.

Further information comes from studying regularity of the graviphoton A in (5.13). It was noted in [15] that this is not well-defined as $r\to 0$. Indeed, although $F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ remains finite, $A_\mu A^\mu$ diverges as

$$A_\mu A^\mu \;=\; \frac{q}{r^2}+\mathcal{O}(1)\,, \tag{5.24}$$

where we have used the critical value $\alpha^2 = q^3$. In order to cure this, one can introduce two new gauge potentials, A' and A'', the first being well-defined around $r=0$, $\theta=0$, and the second being well-defined around $r=0$, $\theta=\pi$. These are related to the original

A by the gauge transformations[17]

$$A \longrightarrow A' = A + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} q^{1/2} (\mathrm{d}\psi + \mathrm{d}\phi) \ ,$$
$$A \longrightarrow A'' = A + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} q^{1/2} (\mathrm{d}\psi - \mathrm{d}\phi) \ . \tag{5.25}$$

It was claimed in [15] that the new gauge fields are not well-defined near to $r = \infty$ due to a singular term at order $\mathcal{O}(1/r^2)$, and for this reason a third gauge patch was introduced. However, we obtain a behavior different from the one displayed in eq. (3.37) of [15]. We find

$$g^{\mu\nu} A'_\mu A'_\nu = \frac{6q}{(r^2+q)(1+\cos\theta)} + \dots \ ,$$
$$g^{\mu\nu} A''_\mu A''_\nu = \frac{6q}{(r^2+q)(1-\cos\theta)} + \dots \ , \tag{5.26}$$

where the ellipsis denote a regular function of r only. The expressions are regular in the respective gauge patches. Hence it is not necessary to introduce a third gauge patch. Extending to infinity the two gauge patches introduced above, we obtain the boundary values

$$A'_\infty = c\,\mathrm{d}t + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} q^{1/2} (\mathrm{d}\psi + \mathrm{d}\phi) \qquad \text{near } \theta = 0 \ ,$$
$$A''_\infty = c\,\mathrm{d}t + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} q^{1/2} (\mathrm{d}\psi - \mathrm{d}\phi) \qquad \text{near } \theta = \pi \ , \tag{5.27}$$

where A_∞ is the graviphoton evaluated at $r = \infty$.

Let us close this section with some comments on the interpretation of these flat fields in the putative field theory duals. A_∞ is related to the background gauge field A^{cs} coupling canonically to the R-current of the dual SCFT by the conversion factor $A^{\mathrm{cs}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} g A_\infty$. Therefore, also using $q^{1/2} = \frac{1}{3g}$ and $c = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$, A^{cs} reads

$$A^{\mathrm{cs}} = -\frac{g}{2}\,\mathrm{d}t + \frac{1}{4}(\mathrm{d}\psi + \mathrm{d}\phi) \qquad \text{near } \theta = 0 \ ,$$
$$A^{\mathrm{cs}} = -\frac{g}{2}\,\mathrm{d}t + \frac{1}{4}(\mathrm{d}\psi - \mathrm{d}\phi) \qquad \text{near } \theta = \pi \ . \tag{5.28}$$

We see that in passing from the patch including $\theta = 0$ to the one including $\theta = \pi$, the gauge transformation $A^{\mathrm{cs}} \to A^{\mathrm{cs}} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}\phi$ is performed. Correspondingly, the dynamical

[17] These gauge shifts have an opposite sign compared to those appearing in eq. (3.36) of [15]. To see this, one has to recall that $\alpha = q^{3/2}$ and take into account the different normalisation $A_{\mathrm{here}} = -\sqrt{3} A_{\mathrm{there}}$.

fields in the dual SCFT acquire a phase $e^{-\frac{i}{2}q_R\phi}$, where q_R is their R-charge. Since all bosonic *gauge invariant* operators in the SCFT should be well-defined in both patches as $\phi \to \phi + 2\pi$, we conclude that their R-charges must satisfy $q_R \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.[18] We will make further comments in appendix B, where we will show that for a number of concrete examples this condition is automatically satisfied, after taking into account the constraints on the internal Sasaki-Einstein manifolds which follow from the conditions for uplifting the topological soliton to type IIB supergravity.

5.3 Remarks on 1/4 BPS topological solitons

We now consider the 1/4 BPS topological solitons mentioned in [6]. We will show that under the assumption that the boundary has the topology of $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, there are no regular topological solitons among the supersymmetric solutions of [6] apart for the 1/2 BPS one discussed above.

Let us start from the boundary of the solution in [6]. The boundary metric is obtained by sending $(gr)^2 \to +\infty$, and is given in (3.28). Requiring that this is (conformal to) the standard metric on $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ fixes the range of the coordinates as $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, $\phi \sim \phi + 2\pi$ and $\psi \sim \psi + 2\pi$.[19] Moreover, requiring positivity of the spatial part of the boundary metric, *cf.* (3.28), we have that the parameters a, b should satisfy

$$|ag| < 1 \ , \qquad |bg| < 1 \ . \tag{5.29}$$

As discussed in [6], the condition that there is no horizon fixes the parameter m as

$$m \ = \ -(1+ag)(1+bg)(1+ag+bg)(2a+b+abg)(a+2b+abg) \ . \tag{5.30}$$

Then the five-dimensional metric degenerates at

$$r_0^2 \ = \ -(a+b+abg)^2 \ , \tag{5.31}$$

(since the solution only depends on even powers of r, it can be continued to negative r^2). This is best seen by introducing a new radial coordinate

$$(r')^2 \ = \ r^2 - r_0^2 \ , \tag{5.32}$$

[18] This condition is reminiscent of the quantisation of the R-charges which is imposed on supersymmetric field theories on $S^2 \times T^2$ by an R-symmetry monopole through S^2, see *e.g.* [33]. Note that if we impose the much more restrictive condition that the basic (scalar) fields of the gauge theory should have R-charge $q_R \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ then all known dual field theories would be ruled out because these have a superpotential with R-charge 2, implying all scalar fields in the theory have R-charges < 2.

[19] In the present subsection 5.3, we drop the label "CCLP" previously used to denote the coordinates of [6]. One should recall anyway that these are not the same as the coordinates $\{r, \theta, \phi, \psi\}$ of [15] appearing in subsections 5.1, 5.2.

running from $r' = 0$ to ∞, and making the change of angular coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \phi' \,, \\ \psi &= \psi' + \frac{(1-bg)(2a+b+abg)}{(1-ag)(a+2b+abg)}\,\phi' \,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

As $r' \to 0$, the orbit of $\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi'}$ shrinks to zero size, while the orbit generated by $\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi'}$ remains finite. Regularity requires that the orbit of $\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi'}$ is closed, hence the fraction in (5.33) must be a *rational number*. In this case, it follows that the angles ϕ', ψ' have the same periodicities as ϕ, ψ, namely 2π. Then one can see that absence of conical singularities in the (r', ϕ') plane as $r' \to 0$ requires [6]

$$\left[\frac{(a+b+abg)(3+5ag+5bg+3abg^2)}{(1-ag)(a+2b+abg)}\right]^2 \;=\; 1 \,. \tag{5.34}$$

However, this is not the only condition needed for regularity. Let us consider the bolt surface at $r' = 0$ and $t = \text{const}$, parameterised by θ, ψ'. One can see that as $\theta \to 0$, the leading terms of the metric on this surface are

$$\mathrm{d}s^2_{\text{bolt}} \;=\; \frac{b(2a+b+abg)}{ag-1}\,\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \frac{b(ag-1)(a+2b+abg)^2}{(1-bg)^2(2a+b+abg)}\,\theta^2\,(\mathrm{d}\psi')^2 + \ldots \,. \tag{5.35}$$

Therefore, the bolt has a conical singularity at $\theta = 0$ unless the additional condition

$$\left[\frac{(1-ag)(a+2b+abg)}{(1-bg)(2a+b+abg)}\right]^2 \;=\; 1 \tag{5.36}$$

is satisfied. Notice that this implies $\psi = \psi' \pm \phi'$, which is consistent with the assumption we made that the two angular variables be related by a linear rational transformation in (5.33). We have checked that the behaviour close to $\theta = \pi/2$ is always smooth instead.

The solutions to the regularity conditions (5.34), (5.36) that also satisfy (5.29) are

$$a \;=\; -b \;=\; \pm\frac{1}{3g} \tag{5.37}$$

(corresponding to $\psi = \psi' - \phi'$ in (5.33)) and

$$a \;=\; b \;=\; \frac{-4+\sqrt{13}}{3g} \tag{5.38}$$

(corresponding to $\psi = \psi' + \phi'$ in (5.33)). Since $a = \pm b$, the corresponding solutions have $SU(2) \times U(1)$ invariance and were discussed in [15]. The solution following from (5.37) corresponds to the 1/2 BPS topological soliton already discussed above, contained in the

"case A" of [15, section 3.3]. Case (5.38) is contained in the "case B" solution of [15, section 3.4]. In both cases, the metric on the bolt is the one of a round two-sphere.

Closer inspection reveals that only (5.37) is acceptable. Indeed, an additional constraint on the parameters comes from considering the $g_{\theta\theta}$ component of the five-dimensional metric in [6], that reads

$$g_{\theta\theta} = \frac{(r')^2 - (a+b+abg)^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta + b^2\sin^2\theta}{1 - a^2g^2\cos^2\theta - b^2g^2\sin^2\theta} \,. \tag{5.39}$$

This should remain positive all the way from $r' = \infty$ down to $r' = 0$. It is easy to check that while (5.37) does satisfy the condition, (5.38) does not, implying that the signature of the metric changes while one moves towards small r'.

Therefore we conclude that among the supersymmetric solutions of [6], the only one corresponding to a completely regular topological soliton with an $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ boundary is the 1/2 BPS soliton of [15] that we considered above.

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we studied supersymmetric solutions to minimal gauged supergravity in five dimensions, building on the approach of [1]. We derived a general expression for the sixth-order constraint that must be satisfied by the Kähler base metric in the timelike class of [1], *cf.* (2.18). We then considered a general ansatz comprising an orthotoric Kähler base, for which the constraint reduced to a single sixth-order equation for two functions, each of one variable, *cf.* (3.13). We succeded in finding an analytic solution to this equation, yielding a family of AlAdS solutions with five non-trivial parameters. We showed that after setting two of the parameters to zero, this reproduces the solution of [6] and hence encompasses (taking into account scaling limits) all AAdS solutions of the timelike class that are known within minimal gauged supergravity. This highlights the role of orthotoric Kähler metrics in providing supersymmetric solutions to five-dimensional gauged supergravity. For general values of the parameters, we obtained an AlAdS generalisation of the solutions of [6], of the type previously presented in [1, 8, 9] in more restricted setups. There exists a further generalisation by an arbitrary anti-holomorphic function [1]; it would be interesting to study regularity and global properties of these AlAdS solutions.

It would also be interesting to investigate further the existence of solutions to our "master equation" (3.13), perhaps aided by numerical analysis. In particular, our orthotoric setup could be used as the starting point for constructing a supersymmetric AlAdS

solution dual to SCFT's on a squashed $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ background, where the squashing of the three-sphere preserves just $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry. This would generalise the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ invariant solution of [10].

Finally, we have discussed the possible relevance of the solutions above to account for the non-vanishing supersymmetric vacuum energy and R-charge of a four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFT defined on the cylinder $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. The most obvious candidate for the gravity dual to the vacuum of an SCFT on $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ is AdS_5 in global coordinates; however this comes with a vanishing R-charge. In appendix A we have performed a complete analysis of supersymmetric solutions with $SO(4) \times \mathbb{R}$ symmetry, proving that there exists a unique singular solution, where the charge is an arbitrary parameter [3]. Imposing regularity of the solution together with some basic requirements from the supersymmetry algebra led us to focus on the 1/2 BPS smooth topological soliton of [15]. A direct evaluation of the energy and electric charge however showed that these do not match the SCFT vacuum expectation values.

We cannot exclude that there exist other solutions, possibly within our orthotoric ansatz, or perhaps in the null class of [1], that match the supersymmetric Casimir energy of a four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFT defined on the cylinder $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. It would also be worth revisiting the evaluation of the charges of empty AdS space, and see if suitable boundary terms can shift the values of both the energy and electric charge, in a way compatible with supersymmetry.

Although we reached a negative conclusion about the relevance of the 1/2 BPS topological soliton to provide the gravity dual of the vacuum of a generic SCFT, our analysis clarified its properties, and may be useful for finding a holographic interpretation of this solution. In appendix B we showed that the embedding of this solution into string theory includes simple internal Sasaki-Einstein manifolds such as S^5 and $T^{1,1}$. In particular, it should be possible to match the supergravity solution to a field theory calculation in the context of well-known theories such as $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills placed on $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_{3m} \times \mathbb{R}$ and the Klebanov-Witten theory placed on $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_{2m} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Zohar Komargodski and Alberto Zaffaroni for discussions. D.C. is supported by an European Commission Marie Curie Fellowship under the contract PIEF-GA-2013-627243. J.L. and D.M. acknowledge support by the ERC Starting Grant N. 304806, "The Gauge/Gravity Duality and Geometry in String Theory."

A $SO(4)$-symmetric solutions

In this appendix, we present an analysis of solutions to minimal gauged supergravity possessing $SO(4) \times \mathbb{R}$ symmetry. In particular, we prove that the only supersymmetry-preserving solution of this type is the singular one found long ago in [3]. To our knowledge, a proof of uniqueness had not appeared in the literature before.

This appendix is somewhat independent of the rest of the paper, and the notation adopted here is not necessarily related to that.

The most general ansatz for a metric and a gauge field with $SO(4) \times \mathbb{R}$ symmetry is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}s^2 &= -U(r)\mathrm{d}t^2 + W(r)\mathrm{d}r^2 + 2X(r)\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}r + Y(r)\mathrm{d}\Omega_3^2 \ , & \text{(A.1)} \\ A &= A_t(r)\mathrm{d}t \ , & \text{(A.2)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{d}\Omega_3^2$ is the metric on the round S^3 of unit radius,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\Omega_3^2 &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2\right) \ , \\ \sigma_1 &= -\sin\psi\,\mathrm{d}\theta + \cos\psi\sin\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi \ , \\ \sigma_2 &= \cos\psi\,\mathrm{d}\theta + \sin\psi\sin\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi \ , \\ \sigma_3 &= \mathrm{d}\psi + \cos\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi \ . \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(A.3)}$$

The crossed term $X(r)\mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}r$ in the metric can be removed by changing the t coordinate, so we continue assuming $X(r) = 0$. We will make use of the frame

$$e^0 = \sqrt{U}\,\mathrm{d}t \ , \qquad e^{1,2,3} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{Y}\sigma_{1,2,3} \ , \qquad e^4 = \sqrt{W}\,\mathrm{d}r \ . \qquad \text{(A.4)}$$

Equations of motion

We proceed by first solving the equations of motion and then examining the additional constraint imposed by supersymmetry. The action and equations of motion are given by equations (2.1) and (2.2). With the ansatz (A.2), the Maxwell equation is

$$0 = \nabla_\nu F^{\nu\mu} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad 0 = A_t'' + \frac{1}{2}A_t'\left(\log\frac{Y^3}{UW}\right)' \ . \qquad \text{(A.5)}$$

This can be integrated to

$$A_t' = c_1\sqrt{\frac{UW}{Y^3}} \ , \qquad \text{(A.6)}$$

with c_1 a constant of integration. The Einstein equations read (using frame indices)

$$\begin{aligned} R_{00} &= -R_{44} = 4g^2 + \frac{(A_t')^2}{3UW} , \\ R_{11} &= R_{22} = R_{33} = -4g^2 + \frac{(A_t')^2}{6UW} , \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

where the Ricci tensor components are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{00} &= \frac{U''}{2UW} - \frac{U'W'}{4UW^2} + \frac{3U'Y'}{4UWY} - \frac{U'^2}{4U^2W} , \\ R_{11} &= R_{22} = R_{33} = -\frac{U'Y'}{4UWY} + \frac{W'Y'}{4W^2Y} - \frac{Y''}{2WY} - \frac{Y'^2}{4WY^2} + \frac{2}{Y} , \\ R_{44} &= -\frac{U''}{2UW} + \frac{U'W'}{4UW^2} + \frac{U'^2}{4U^2W} + \frac{3W'Y'}{4W^2Y} - \frac{3Y''}{2WY} + \frac{3Y'^2}{4WY^2} . \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

To solve these, let us define

$$T(r) = U(r)W(r)Y(r) . \tag{A.9}$$

Combining two of the Einstein equations yields,

$$0 = R_{00} + R_{44} = \frac{3U}{4T^2}\left(T'Y' - 2TY''\right) , \tag{A.10}$$

which can be integrated to

$$T(r) = c_2\, Y'^2(r) , \tag{A.11}$$

with $c_2 \neq 0$ a constant of integration. Using this, the angular components of the Einstein equations can be integrated, yielding

$$U(r) = 4c_2 + 4c_2 g^2 Y + \frac{1}{Y}c_3 + \frac{c_1^2 c_2}{3Y^2} , \tag{A.12}$$

with a third constant of integration c_3. This solves all the equations of motion.

We can now use the freedom to redefine the radial coordinate to choose one of the functions. In particular, we can choose the function $W(r)$ so that $WU = 4s^2$, where we take $s > 0$. From (A.9) and (A.11) we then obtain

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}Y}{\mathrm{d}r}\right)^2 = \frac{4s^2}{c_2}Y \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad Y(r) = \frac{s^2}{c_2}r^2 , \tag{A.13}$$

where we used the freedom to shift r to set to zero an integration constant. Finally, after performing the trivial redefinitions $r^{\rm old} = \frac{\sqrt{c_2}}{s}r^{\rm new}$, $U^{\rm old} = 4c_2 U^{\rm new}$, $t^{\rm new} = 2\sqrt{c_2}t^{\rm old}$, we arrive at the solution

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -U(r)\mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{1}{U(r)}\mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2\mathrm{d}\Omega_3^2 , \tag{A.14}$$

$$A = \left(c_4 - \frac{c_1}{2r^2}\right)\mathrm{d}t , \tag{A.15}$$

with

$$U(r) \;=\; 1 + g^2 r^2 + \frac{c_3}{4c_2 r^2} + \frac{c_1^2}{12 r^4} \;, \tag{A.16}$$

and c_4 another arbitrary constant. Hence, the solution depends on three constants: c_1, which is essentially the charge, the ratio c_3/c_2, and c_4 which is quite trivial but may play a role in global considerations.

Supersymmetry

The integrability condition of the Killing spinor equation (2.3) is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;=\; \mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}\epsilon \;\equiv\; & \frac{1}{4} R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}\gamma^{\kappa\lambda}\epsilon + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{3}}\left(\gamma_{[\mu}{}^{\kappa\lambda} + 4\gamma^{\kappa}\delta^{\lambda}_{[\mu}\right)\nabla_{\nu]} F_{\kappa\lambda}\epsilon \\ & + \frac{1}{48}\left(F_{\kappa\lambda}F^{\kappa\lambda}\gamma_{\mu\nu} + 4F_{\kappa\lambda}F^{\kappa}{}_{[\mu}\gamma_{\nu]}{}^{\lambda} - 6F_{\mu\kappa}F_{\nu\lambda}\gamma^{\kappa\lambda} + 4F_{\kappa\lambda}F_{\rho[\mu}\gamma_{\nu]}{}^{\kappa\lambda\rho}\right)\epsilon \\ & + \frac{ig}{4\sqrt{3}}\left(F^{\kappa\lambda}\gamma_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} - 4F_{\kappa[\mu}\gamma_{\nu]}{}^{\kappa} - 6F_{\mu\nu}\right)\epsilon + \frac{g^2}{2}\gamma_{\mu\nu}\epsilon \;, \end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

where we used $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu]\epsilon = \frac{1}{4} R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}\gamma^{\kappa\lambda}\epsilon$. A necessary condition for the solution to preserve supersymmetry is that

$$\det{}_{\text{Cliff}}\, \mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu} \;=\; 0 \qquad \text{for all } \mu, \nu \;, \tag{A.18}$$

where the determinant is taken over the spinor indices. This gives for the $SO(4) \times \mathbb{R}$ invariant solution (in flat indices a, b):

$$\det{}_{\text{Cliff}}\, \mathcal{I}_{ab} \;=\; \frac{9\left(16 c_1^2 c_2^2 - 3 c_3^2\right)^2}{24^4 c_2^4\, r^{16}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 81 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 81 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{ab} \;. \tag{A.19}$$

Hence, the supersymmetry condition is

$$\frac{c_3}{c_2} \;=\; -\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} c_1 \;, \tag{A.20}$$

where we fixed a sign without loss of generality. Plugging this back into (A.16), we have

$$U(r) \;=\; \left(1 - \frac{c_1}{2\sqrt{3}\, r^2}\right)^2 + g^2 r^2 \;. \tag{A.21}$$

This recovers a solution first found in [3]. It is also obtained from (5.11)–(5.13) by setting $\alpha = 0$ and changing the radial coordinate.

Therefore we conclude that *in the context of minimal gauged supergravity, the most general supersymmetric solution possessing $SO(4) \times \mathbb{R}$ symmetry is the one-parameter family found in [3].* This preserves four supercharges and has a naked singularity. It would be interesting to determine if this can be acceptable in a string theory framework.

B Uplifting topological solitons to type IIB

In this appendix we discuss the uplift to type IIB supergravity of the 1/2 BPS topological soliton of [15]. Recall that this is obtained from the solution in (5.11)–(5.13) by choosing the rotational parameter as $\alpha^2 = q^3$ and fixing the remaining parameter q so that conical singularities are avoided. Compared to section 5.1, we will consider the slightly more general case where the spatial part of the boundary has the topology of $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_p$ rather than just S^3. Then the periodicity of ψ is $\frac{4\pi}{p}$ and the condition (5.20) on q becomes

$$q \;=\; \frac{p^2}{9g^2}\;. \tag{B.1}$$

The four-dimensional hypersurfaces at constant t have the topology of $\mathcal{O}(-p) \to S^2$. These manifolds are spin for p even, while they are spin^c for p odd.

Locally, all solutions to five-dimensional minimal gauged supergravity can be embedded into type IIB supergravity on a Sasaki-Einstein five-manifold [34]. However, when the external spacetime has non-trivial topology one may encounter global obstructions. In particular, it was pointed out in [15] that the 1/2 BPS topological soliton cannot be uplifted when the internal manifold is S^5. Here we identify the Sasaki-Einstein manifolds that make the uplift of that solution viable.

The truncation ansatz for the ten-dimensional metric reads [34]

$$\mathrm{d}s^2_{10} \;=\; g_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu \mathrm{d}x^\nu + \frac{1}{g^2}\left(\mathrm{d}s^2(M) + \frac{1}{9}(\mathrm{d}\zeta + 3\sigma - \sqrt{3}gA_\mu \mathrm{d}x^\mu)^2\right) \tag{B.2}$$

Following the presentation in *e.g.* [35], the metric

$$\mathrm{d}s^2(SE) \;=\; \mathrm{d}s^2(M) + \left(\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{d}\zeta + \sigma\right)^2 \tag{B.3}$$

is Sasaki-Einstein, where $\mathrm{d}s^2(M)$ is an a priori local Kähler-Einstein metric, with Kähler two-form $J = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}\sigma$. The contact one-form is $\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{d}\zeta + \sigma$ and the dual Reeb vector field is $3\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta}$. The graviphoton A gauges the space-time dependent reparameterisations of ζ.

For eq. (B.2) to provide a good ten-dimensional metric, the one-form

$$\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{d}\zeta + \sigma - \frac{g}{\sqrt{3}}A \tag{B.4}$$

must be globally defined, and this imposes a constraint on the choice of Sasaki-Einstein manifold. In particular, as discussed in [32] in a closely related scenario, the one-form (B.4) can be globally defined only if ζ is periodically identified, thus one can never uplift to irregular Sasaki-Einstein manifolds.

Let us then assume for simplicity to have a regular Sasaki-Einstein manifold, that is a circle bundle over a Fano Kähler-Einstein manifold M, with Fano index $I(M)$. For example, for $M = \mathbb{C}P^2$ the Fano index is $I(\mathbb{C}P^2) = 3$, while for $M = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ it is $I(\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1) = 2$ (see *e.g.* [36]). Then, for any integer k that divides I, the period of ζ can be taken $2\pi I/k$, with the Sasaki-Einstein five-manifold being simply connected if and only if $k = 1$. Thus, for $M = \mathbb{C}P^2$, taking ζ to have period 6π gives S^5, while for $M = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$, a ζ with period 4π gives $T^{1,1}$. If k divides I but is larger than one, a ζ with period $2\pi I/k$ yields a Sasaki-Einstein manifold that is still regular, albeit not simply connected. Defining $\tilde{\zeta} = \frac{k}{I}\zeta$, so that $\tilde{\zeta}$ has canonical period 2π, we see that for the ten-dimensional metric (B.2) to be globally defined, the term

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{\zeta} - \frac{\sqrt{3}gk}{I}A \tag{B.5}$$

must be a *bona fide* connection on a circle bundle, implying the quantisation condition

$$\frac{\sqrt{3}gk}{I}\int_{S^2}\frac{F}{2\pi} \;\in\; \mathbb{Z}\,. \tag{B.6}$$

Using the computation in (5.22) with q chosen as in (B.1), we obtain

$$\frac{k\,p}{I} \;\in\; \mathbb{Z}\,. \tag{B.7}$$

This condition relates the topology of the boundary manifold $\mathbb{R}\times S^3/\mathbb{Z}_p$ to the topology of the internal manifold.

Let us now provide some examples of choices that obey (B.7), together with some brief comments on the field theory duals. We begin considering the case $p = 1$ as in the main body of the paper. For $M = \mathbb{C}P^2$ the condition (B.7) implies that $k = 3$. This means that the Sasaki-Einstein manifold is $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3$, and we can put the dual field theory on $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. This a quiver gauge theory with three nodes and nine bi-fundamental fields, arising from D3 branes placed at the $\mathcal{O}(-3) \to \mathbb{C}P^2$ singularity (see *e.g.* [37]). According

to the discussion at the end of section 5.2, the R-charges of gauge-invariant operators of this theory, that may be constructed as closed loops of bi-fundamental fields in the quiver, must be even integers. This is in fact automatic, since the shortest loops are superpotential terms, that have R-charge precisely equal to 2. Similarly, for $M = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ condition (B.7) with $p = 1$ implies $k = 2$. Then the Sasaki-Einstein manifold is $T^{1,1}/\mathbb{Z}_2$, and we can put the dual field theory on $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. This is a quiver with four nodes. In this case there are two possibilities for the bi-fundamental fields and superpotential, known as "toric phases" related by Seiberg duality [38, 37]. Again, one can check that all loops in the quiver are superpotential terms, and therefore have R-charge 2. One can go through all remaining regular Sasaki-Einstein cases, where the base is a del Pezzo surface $M = dP_i$, with $3 \leq i \leq 9$, which all have Fano index $I(dP_i) = 1$, implying $k = 1$. In fact, according to (B.7) these theories can be placed on $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{R}$ for any $p \geq 1$. For general p, the constraint on R-charges of gauge invariant operators derived in section 5.2 is that these must be $q_R \in \frac{2}{p}\mathbb{Z}$. We have verified that for all four toric phases of the quivers dual to the third del Pezzo surface $M = dP_3$, the shortest loops are again superpotential terms [38], and therefore satisfy this condition (for any p).

There is in fact a more geometric way of understanding the restriction on the choice of internal manifold Y_5, that is directly related to the field theory dual description. Assuming that it is a regular Sasaki-Einstein, Y_5 can be identified with the unit circle bundle in $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{K}^{k/I}$, where $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the canonical line bundle of the Kähler-Einstein manifold M. Then scalar BPS operators in the dual field theory are in 1-1 correspondence with holomorphic functions on the Calabi-Yau cone over Y_5. These correspond to holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{L}^{-n}$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a positive integer. Converting into field theory background R-symmetry gauge field the connection term in (B.5) this reads $-\frac{2k}{I}A^{\rm cs}$, showing that the R-charge of the holomorphic functions is given by $q_R = \frac{2k}{I}n$.

Let us conclude illustrating these general comments in two concrete examples with $I > 1$ and $p > 1$. In particular, take $M = \mathbb{C}P^2$, and consider placing the theory on $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_{3m} \times \mathbb{R}$, thus picking $p = 3m$. Then (B.7) can be solved for either $k = 1$ or $k = 3$. Choosing $k = 1$ we can consider the theory dual to S^5, namely $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills. The gauge invariant operators in this theory are constructed with the three adjoints as $\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_I^{n_I}\Phi_J^{n_J}\Phi_K^{n_K})$, $I, J, K = 1, 2, 3$, and have R-charge equal to $q_R = \frac{2}{3}(n_I + n_J + n_K) \in \frac{2}{3}\mathbb{N}$.

Finally, take $M = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$, and consider placing the theory in $S^3/\mathbb{Z}_{2m} \times \mathbb{R}$, thus picking $p = 2m$. Then (B.7) can be solved for either $k = 1$ or $k = 2$. Choosing $k = 1$ we can consider the theory dual to $T^{1,1}$, namely the Klebanov-Witten theory. The gauge invariant operators in this theory are constructed as $\mathrm{Tr}(A_I B_J)^n$, where A_I, B_J, with

$I, J = 1, 2$ are bi-fundamentals with R-charge equal to $1/2$. Thus the gauge invariant operator have R-charge $q_R = n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It would be straightforward to generalise these considerations to the class of quasi-regular Sasaki-Einstein manifolds, where the Kähler-Einstein base is an orbifold.

References

[1] J. P. Gauntlett and J. B. Gutowski, *All supersymmetric solutions of minimal gauged supergravity in five-dimensions*, Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 105009 [Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 089901] [hep-th/0304064].

[2] J. B. Gutowski and H. S. Reall, *Supersymmetric AdS_5 black holes*, JHEP **0402** (2004) 006 [hep-th/0401042].

[3] L. A. J. London, *Arbitrary dimensional cosmological multi-black holes*, Nucl. Phys. B **434** (1995) 709.

[4] D. Klemm and W. A. Sabra, *Charged rotating black holes in 5-D Einstein-Maxwell (A)dS gravity*, Phys. Lett. B **503** (2001) 147 [hep-th/0010200].

[5] M. Cvetic, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, *Charged Kerr-de Sitter black holes in five dimensions*, Phys. Lett. B **598** (2004) 273 [hep-th/0406196].

[6] Z.-W. Chong, M. Cvetic, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, *General non-extremal rotating black holes in minimal five-dimensional gauged supergravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 161301 [hep-th/0506029].

[7] H. K. Kunduri, J. Lucietti and H. S. Reall, *Supersymmetric multi-charge AdS_5 black holes*, JHEP **0604** (2006) 036 [hep-th/0601156].

[8] J. P. Gauntlett, J. B. Gutowski and N. V. Suryanarayana, *A Deformation of $AdS_5 \times S^5$*, Class. Quant. Grav. **21** (2004) 5021 [hep-th/0406188].

[9] P. Figueras, C. A. R. Herdeiro and F. Paccetti Correia, *On a class of 4D Kähler bases and AdS_5 supersymmetric Black Holes*, JHEP **0611** (2006) 036 [hep-th/0608201].

[10] D. Cassani and D. Martelli, *The gravity dual of supersymmetric gauge theories on a squashed $S^1 \times S^3$*, JHEP **1408** (2014) 044 [arXiv:1402.2278 [hep-th]].

[11] A. Bernamonti, M. M. Caldarelli, D. Klemm, R. Olea, C. Sieg and E. Zorzan, *Black strings in AdS(5)*, JHEP **0801** (2008) 061 [arXiv:0708.2402 [hep-th]].

[12] D. Klemm and W. A. Sabra, *Supersymmetry of black strings in D = 5 gauged supergravities*, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 024003 [hep-th/0001131].

[13] H. K. Kunduri, J. Lucietti and H. S. Reall, *Do supersymmetric anti-de Sitter black rings exist?*, JHEP **0702** (2007) 026 [hep-th/0611351].

[14] B. Assel, D. Cassani, L. Di Pietro, Z. Komargodski, J. Lorenzen and D. Martelli, *The Casimir Energy in Curved Space and its Supersymmetric Counterpart*, JHEP **07** (2015) 043 [arXiv:1503.05537 [hep-th]].

[15] M. Cvetic, G. W. Gibbons, H. Lü and C. N. Pope, *Rotating black holes in gauged supergravities: Thermodynamics, supersymmetric limits, topological solitons and time machines*, [arXiv:hep-th/0504080].

[16] G. Székelyhidi, *An introduction to Extremal Kähler metrics*, American Mathematical Soc., 2014, `www3.nd.edu/~gszekely/notes.pdf`.

[17] N. Kim, *AdS(3) solutions of IIB supergravity from D3-branes*, JHEP **0601**, 094 (2006) [hep-th/0511029].

[18] N. Kim and J. D. Park, *Comments on AdS(2) solutions of D=11 supergravity*, JHEP **0609** (2006) 041 [hep-th/0607093].

[19] J. P. Gauntlett, N. Kim and D. Waldram, *Supersymmetric AdS(3), AdS(2) and Bubble Solutions*, JHEP **0704** (2007) 005 [hep-th/0612253].

[20] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank and P. Gauduchon, *The geometry of weakly selfdual Kähler surfaces*, Compositio Math. 135 (2003) 279, [math.DG/0104233].

[21] B. S. Acharya, S. Govindarajan and C. N. Gowdigere, *Toric Kähler metrics and AdS_5 in ring-like co-ordinates*, JHEP **0711** (2007) 060 [hep-th/0612302].

[22] D. Martelli and J. Sparks, *Toric Sasaki-Einstein metrics on $S^2 \times S^3$*, Phys. Lett. B **621** (2005) 208 [hep-th/0505027].

[23] M. Cvetic, H. Lu, D. N. Page and C. N. Pope, *New Einstein-Sasaki spaces in five and higher dimensions*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 071101 [hep-th/0504225].

[24] D. Kubiznak, H. K. Kunduri and Y. Yasui, *Generalized Killing-Yano equations in D=5 gauged supergravity*, Phys. Lett. B **678** (2009) 240 [arXiv:0905.0722 [hep-th]].

[25] D. Cassani and D. Martelli, *Supersymmetry on curved spaces and superconformal anomalies*, JHEP **1310** (2013) 025 [arXiv:1307.6567 [hep-th]].

[26] H. C. Kim and S. Kim, *M5-branes from gauge theories on the 5-sphere*, JHEP **1305** (2013) 144 [arXiv:1206.6339 [hep-th]].

[27] B. Assel, D. Cassani and D. Martelli, *Localization on Hopf surfaces*, JHEP **1408** (2014) 123 [arXiv:1405.5144 [hep-th]].

[28] J. Lorenzen and D. Martelli, *Comments on the Casimir energy in supersymmetric field theories*, JHEP **1507** (2015) 001 [arXiv:1412.7463 [hep-th]].

[29] N. Bobev, M. Bullimore and H. C. Kim, *Supersymmetric Casimir Energy and the Anomaly Polynomial*, JHEP **1509** (2015) 142 [arXiv:1507.08553 [hep-th]].

[30] C. Romelsberger, *Counting chiral primaries in N = 1, d=4 superconformal field theories*, Nucl. Phys. B **747** (2006) 329 [hep-th/0510060].

[31] B. Assel, D. Cassani and D. Martelli, *Supersymmetric counterterms from new minimal supergravity*, JHEP **1411** (2014) 135 [arXiv:1410.6487 [hep-th]].

[32] D. Martelli, A. Passias and J. Sparks, *The supersymmetric NUTs and bolts of holography*, Nucl. Phys. B **876** (2013) 810 [arXiv:1212.4618 [hep-th]].

[33] C. Closset and I. Shamir, *The $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Chiral Multiplet on $T^2 \times S^2$ and Supersymmetric Localization*, JHEP **1403** (2014) 040 [arXiv:1311.2430 [hep-th]].

[34] A. Buchel and J. T. Liu, *Gauged supergravity from type IIB string theory on $Y^{p,q}$ manifolds*, Nucl. Phys. B **771** (2007) 93 [hep-th/0608002].

[35] D. Martelli and J. Sparks, *Toric geometry, Sasaki-Einstein manifolds and a new infinite class of AdS/CFT duals*, Commun. Math. Phys. **262** (2006) 51 [hep-th/0411238].

[36] J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, J. Sparks and S. T. Yau, *Obstructions to the existence of Sasaki-Einstein metrics*, Commun. Math. Phys. **273** (2007) 803 [hep-th/0607080].

[37] B. Feng, S. Franco, A. Hanany and Y. H. He, *Symmetries of toric duality*, JHEP **0212** (2002) 076 [hep-th/0205144].

[38] C. E. Beasley and M. R. Plesser, *Toric duality is Seiberg duality*, JHEP **0112** (2001) 001 [hep-th/0109053].